March 27, 2020

Barbara Schneeman, PhD  
Chair, 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee  
c/o Eve Stoody, PhD  
Designated Federal Officer  
Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion  
Food and Nutrition Service  
U.S. Department of Agriculture  
3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1034  
Alexandria, VA 22301

Dear Dr. Schneeman and Members of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee:

The American Institute for Cancer Research (AICR), an affiliate of the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF), presents these comments to the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (DGAC) in response to, and anticipation of, the draft conclusions for the research questions addressing:

1) Dietary patterns and cancer (presented at the March 12-13, 2020, public meeting)  
2) Alcohol consumption and risk of certain cancer types (conclusions not yet presented)

We understand that time constraints may have prevented the DGAC from completing thoroughly updated systematic reviews for these research questions. We would like to reiterate AICR’s position, detailed in our previously submitted comments, regarding the availability of rigorous, timely and relevant systematic literature reviews and meta-analyses on the topics of dietary patterns and cancer and alcohol consumption and cancer. These comments are appended to this letter for your reference. We encourage the DGAC to consider this rigorous, timely and relevant research when finalizing their conclusions and recommendations.

The AICR/WCRF Continuous Update Project (CUP) is an ongoing program that analyzes global research on how diet, nutrition and physical activity affect cancer risk and survival, by conducting systematic literature reviews and meta-analyses. The independent CUP Expert Panel then draws conclusions and assigns evidence grades for each disease-exposure relationship. The table, appended to this letter, outlines the conclusions and evidence grades regarding dietary patterns and cancer that have been assigned by the AICR/WCRF CUP, and aligns these with the USDA’s Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review (NESR) evidence grades and draft conclusions from the relevant 2020 DGAC subcommittees. The table is presented in three sections that focus on:

1) **Cancer sites and exposures evaluated by the 2020 DGAC**: Dietary patterns and risk of breast, colorectal, prostate and lung cancers.
2) **Additional cancer sites and exposures not evaluated by the 2020 DGAC**: Dietary patterns and risk of pancreatic, endometrial and liver cancers are presented. These
cancer sites were specified in the original 2020 protocol but the 2020 DGAC was unable to present draft conclusions for these cancer sites and recommended that future DGACs evaluate dietary patterns and these cancer sites.

3) **Exposures not yet evaluated by the 2015 or 2020 DGAC, such as alcohol.** The table lists and provides links to the Systematic Literature Review Reports for each of the six types of cancer for which there is strong evidence that alcohol consumption is a causative factor.

In addition, the table lists, and provides links to, the Systematic Literature Review Reports for each of the six types of cancer for which there is strong evidence that alcohol consumption is a causative factor.

**We wish to bring to your attention two draft conclusion statements from the Dietary Patterns subcommittee that are not as strong as our evidence concludes:**

- **Dietary patterns and colorectal cancer:**
  The AICR/WCRF CUP concludes that the **evidence is strong** that **whole grains, foods containing dietary fiber and dairy products and calcium supplements decrease** the risk of colorectal cancer.
  The **evidence is strong** that even very low intakes of **processed meat increases** risk of colorectal cancer.
  The **evidence is strong** that greater than moderate intakes (more than 12-18 ounces per week) of **red meat increases** the risk of colorectal cancer.

The AICR/WCRF CUP process only considers evidence that is judged to be **strong**, according to detailed **a priori** criteria, as sufficient to support making specific recommendations. Currently, the draft conclusions presented by the 2020 DGAC’s Dietary Patterns Subcommittee have graded the evidence as only **moderate** for whole grains, vegetables, fruits, legumes and low-fat dairy, for reducing colorectal cancer risk. In addition, the evidence was graded as moderate for moderate alcohol consumption reducing colorectal cancer risk, when compared to higher alcohol consumption. Similarly, the 2020 DGAC’s Dietary Patterns Subcommittee graded evidence as **moderate** for red and processed meats, French fries, and sodas and sugars for increasing colorectal cancer risk.

Our rigorous, relevant and timely analyses and reports present the strong evidence that these important dietary components, including whole grains, foods containing dietary fiber, red and processed meat and alcohol significantly impact cancer risk and warrant specific recommendations in the committee’s final report to the USDA and HHS. The conclusion that the evidence for these important dietary components is only moderate, based on potentially limited reviews of the evidence, may limit the ability of the DGAC to make recommendations that are optimal for reducing cancer risk.

- **Dietary patterns and breast cancer:** AICR/WCRF CUP concludes that the **evidence is strong** that alcohol intake **increases breast cancer risk**, for both premenopausal and postmenopausal women. Breast cancer is the most common cancer in US women and even consumption of less than one alcoholic drink per day (i.e., below the current national guidelines) significantly increases breast cancer risk. Currently, the draft conclusions presented by the 2020 Dietary Patterns Subcommittee do not include a statement regarding alcohol consumption and breast cancer risk. The DGAC should acknowledge this important relationship and make a strong recommendation to **limit**
alcohol consumption to reduce breast cancer risk. AICR recommends that, for cancer prevention, it’s best not to drink alcohol.

Additionally, we urge the Beverages and Added Sugars Subcommittee to address the relationship between alcohol consumption and risk of certain types of cancer. It is imperative that the DGAC address the research on this topic in their report so that USDA and HHS can use this information to provide strong, actionable recommendations for Americans in the Dietary Guidelines.

As AICR’s report explains, there is strong evidence that consuming alcohol increases the risk of the following cancers:\(^1\):

- Breast Cancer
- Colorectal Cancer
- Esophageal Cancer
- Liver Cancer
- Mouth, Pharynx, and Larynx Cancer, and
- Stomach Cancer.

We encourage the Dietary Guidelines for Americans to provide clear and simple guidance regarding alcohol consumption. Terms such as “moderate” and “limit” when related to alcohol consumption are vague and subjective. Americans need guidelines that clearly outline the risk related to alcohol consumption and certain types of cancers. AICR recommends that, for cancer prevention, it’s best to avoid drinking alcohol entirely.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we thank the DGAC for considering our comments. We welcome the opportunity to serve as a resource to the DGAC as it develops its scientific report and finalizes conclusion statements. If you have any questions or we can provide any additional information, please contact Deirdre McGinley-Gieser, Senior Vice President of Programs, at d.mcginley-gieser@aicr.org or 703-237-0159.

Sincerely,

Kelly B. Browning  
Chief Executive Officer  
American Institute for Cancer Research

---

Alcohol Liver Cancer Endometrial Cancer Pancreatic Cancer Lung cancer Prostate cancer Breast cancer

What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of breast cancer?


Moderate evidence indicates that dietary patterns rich in vegetables, fruit and whole grains, and lower in animal products and refined carbohydrate, are associated with reduced risk of postmenopausal breast cancer. The data regarding this dietary pattern and premenopausal breast cancer risk point in the same direction, but the evidence is limited due to fewer studies.

Postmenopausal breast cancer risk: Moderate

Premenopausal breast cancer risk: Limited

What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of colorectal cancer?


Moderate evidence indicates an inverse association between dietary patterns that are higher in vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, lean meats and seafood, low-fat dairy and moderate alcohol, and low in red and processed meats, saturated fat and sodas and sweets relative to other dietary patterns and the risk of colon and rectal cancer. Conversely, diets that are higher in red and processed meats, French fries and potatoes, and sources of sugars (i.e., sodas, sweets and dessert foods) are associated with a greater colon and rectal cancer risk.

Moderate evidence suggests dietary patterns that are higher in vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, lean meats and seafood, low-fat dairy and moderate alcohol, lower in saturated fat and sodas and sweets, and low or no intake of red and processed meats relative to other dietary patterns are associated with reduced risk of colon and rectal cancer.

Moderate evidence also suggests dietary patterns that are higher in red and processed meats, French fries and potatoes and sources of sugars are associated with a greater colon and rectal cancer risk.

What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of prostate cancer?


No conclusion can be drawn regarding the relationship between dietary patterns and the risk of prostate cancer. This is due to limited evidence from a small number of studies with wide variation in study design, dietary assessment methodology and prostate cancer outcome ascertainment.

Grade not assignable

Limited evidence suggests no relationship between dietary patterns and risk of prostate cancer.

Limited

What is the relationship between dietary patterns and risk of lung cancer?


Limited evidence from a small number of studies suggests a lower risk of lung cancer associated with dietary patterns containing more frequent servings of vegetables, fruits, seafood, grains and cereals, legumes and lean vs. higher fat meats and lower fat or non-fat dairy products. Despite reported modest significant reductions in risk, definitive conclusions cannot be established at this time because of the small number of articles, as well as wide variation in study design, dietary assessment and case ascertainment.

Limited evidence suggests that dietary patterns containing more frequent servings of veggies, fruits seafood grains and cereals and legumes and lean vs. higher fat meats and lower fat or non-fat dairy products may be associated with lower risk of lung cancer, primarily among former smokers and current smokers.

Limited

AICR/WCRF shows limited-suggestive evidence that dairy products and dietic high in calcium increase the risk for prostate cancer.

Limited

AICR/WCRF shows limited no conclusion evidence that alcohol has an effect on prostate cancer risk.

Limited

AICR/WCRF shows limited no conclusion evidence that alcohol increases risk for lung cancer.

Limited

AICR/WCRF shows limited evidence that alcohol increases the risk of the following types of cancers: mouth, pharynx, larynx; esophagus; liver, colorectal and breast (postmenopausal). AICR has strong-probable evidence that alcoholic drinks increase the risk of the following types of cancers: stomach, breast (premenopausal).

Limited

AICR/WCRF shows strong evidence that alcohol increases the risk of breast cancer. NESR reviews show only moderate evidence that this link exists. We recommend the committee advise that, for cancer prevention, it is best not to drink alcohol.

AICR/WCRF shows strong evidence of alcoholic drinks increase the risk of the following types of cancers: mouth, pharynx, larynx; esophagus; liver, colorectal and breast (postmenopausal). AICR has strong-probable evidence that alcoholic drinks increase the risk of the following types of cancers: stomach, breast (premenopausal). AICR shows strong-probable evidence showing that whey protein, foods containing dietary fiber, dairy products and calcium supplements decrease the risk of colorectal cancer. AICR/WCRF also shows strong evidence that processed and red meat increase the risk of colorectal cancer.

AICR/WCRF shows strong-suggestive evidence for dietary components that increase the risk of colorectal cancer. AICR/WCRF shows strong-suggestive evidence that dietary patterns that are higher in red and processed meats, French fries and potatoes and sources of sugars are associated with a greater colon and rectal cancer risk.

AICR/WCRF shows weak evidence of nonstarchy vegetables, dairy products, foods containing carotenoids and diets high in calcium decrease risk for breast cancer. There is strong-convincing evidence that alcohol increases risk for breast cancer.

AICR/WCRF shows strong evidence that alcoholic drinks increase the risk of the following types of cancers: mouth, pharynx, larynx; esophagus; liver, colorectal and breast (postmenopausal). AICR has strong-probable evidence that alcoholic drinks increase the risk of the following types of cancers: stomach, breast (premenopausal). AICR shows strong-probable evidence showing that whey protein, foods containing dietary fiber, dairy products and calcium supplements decrease the risk of colorectal cancer. AICR/WCRF also shows strong evidence that processed and red meat increase the risk of colorectal cancer. NESR reviews show only moderate evidence that this link exists. We recommend the committee advise that, for cancer prevention, it is best not to drink alcohol.
February 7, 2020

Barbara Schneeman, PhD
Chair, 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee
c/o Eve Stoody, PhD
Designated Federal Officer
Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion
Food and Nutrition Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1034
Alexandria, VA 22301

Dear Dr. Schneeman and Members of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee:

The American Institute for Cancer Research (AICR) presents these comments to the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (DGAC) as it moves forward with prioritizing development and implementation of the remaining research protocols. As was discussed at the DGAC’s January 23-24, 2020 public meeting, we understand that time constraints may prevent the DGAC from completing systematic reviews for all research questions, and each subcommittee has been asked to prioritize its remaining work. These comments discuss our recommendations regarding prioritization of the remaining research questions.

We urge the Beverages and Added Sugars Subcommittee to prioritize conducting systematic reviews that respond to the research question: What is the relationship between alcohol consumption and risk of certain types of cancer?

We urge the Dietary Patterns Subcommittee to prioritize updating the 2015 Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review (NESR) systematic reviews and conducting new systematic reviews, consistent with the research protocol, for the research question: What is the relationship between dietary patterns consumed and risk of certain types of cancer? Priority cancer sites for new or updated reviews should include colorectal, liver and endometrial cancers.

Background

AICR is a member of the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) network and the leading U.S. authority on the links between diet, weight, physical activity and cancer prevention and survival. Our mission is to champion the latest and most authoritative scientific research from around the world on cancer prevention and survival, relevant to these lifestyle factors, to help people make informed choices to reduce their cancer risk.

Since 2007, AICR/WCRF have conducted the Continuous Update Project (CUP) that comprehensively analyzes and synthesizes the global scientific research on the roles of diet, weight, and physical activity in cancer risk and outcomes. The analyses and evidence syntheses are published as Continuous Update Project (CUP) Reports and Expert Reports. The CUP has produced a series of reports on specific cancer...
sites over the last 10 years. Most recently, AICR/WCRF published our Third Expert Report in May 2018, *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective*. The evidence for each exposure is rigorously assessed through systematic literature reviews, meta-analyses and deliberation by an expert panel.

AICR has already submitted several comment letters to the DGAC, sharing the methodology and conclusions of its systematic literature reviews on alcohol and cancer, dietary patterns and cancer, and dietary patterns and body weight. We also submitted comments on the DGAC’s dietary patterns and cancer research protocol.

The enclosed chart outlines AICR/WCRF’s systematic literature review conclusions and evidence grades related to dietary patterns and cancer and how they compare to those of the 2015 DGAC, which the 2020 DGAC’s research protocol proposes to update. The chart also highlights AICR’s conclusions and evidence grades related to dietary patterns and cancer for the additional cancer types that the 2020 DGAC proposes to examine. In addition, the chart lists and provides links to the systematic reviews for the cancer types that AICR/WCRF’s research has found to be related to alcohol consumption.

**Alcohol and Cancer**

We strongly recommend that the Beverages and Added Sugars Subcommittee prioritize completion of a new NESR systematic review or use AICR/WCRF’s existing systematic literature reviews (see links in enclosed chart) to answer its research question on alcohol and cancer. As described in more detail in our previous comment letter (p. 13), AICR’s systematic review on alcohol and cancer is high-quality, directly addresses the DGAC’s research question, and timely. If the DGAC does not have sufficient capacity to complete its own NESR review, we strongly recommend that the relevant AICR/WCRF’s CUP systematic reviews be utilized.

Systematic literature reviews conducted as part of WCRF/AICR’s CUP have found strong evidence that consumption of alcoholic drinks increases the risk of mouth/pharynx/larynx, esophagus, liver, colorectum, breast (pre- and post-menopausal), and stomach cancers. While the amount of alcohol needed to increase cancer risk varies by cancer type, less than one small glass of alcohol per day significantly increases risk for cancers of the breast (both pre- and post-menopausal), esophagus, and mouth/pharynx/larynx.

Based on the totality of the evidence, we strongly suggest the DGAC recommend that, for cancer prevention, it is best not to drink alcohol. The risk for breast cancer and several other cancer types reaches statistical significance even below the current recommended limits of one drink per day for women and two drinks per day for men. Therefore, it is imperative that the DGAC acknowledge this increased risk and consider whether the existing recommendation is appropriate, particularly given that breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women in the US.

A strong DGAC recommendation that, for cancer prevention it’s best not to drink alcohol – at all – would be a clear public health message that would avoid the potential ambiguity that arises from the high variability in alcohol content in a single drink, the different thresholds for increased cancer risk in different cancer types, and that some cancer types (e.g., breast) do not have thresholds, meaning that

---

even small/moderate amounts can be harmful. This is particularly important given that a recent survey of U.S. adults found that less than half of respondents were aware that alcohol increases cancer risk.\(^2\) Therefore, including a conclusion and recommendation in the DGAC report regarding alcohol and cancer risk is important for increasing awareness of the alcohol and cancer link. The Committee should also make it clear that alcoholic drinks of all types – including beer, wine, and spirits – increase cancer risk.

**Dietary Patterns and Cancer**

We strongly recommend that the Dietary Patterns Subcommittee prioritize updates to the relevant 2015 NESR reviews and conduct new reviews on additional cancer types to allow the DGAC to respond to the research question regarding the relationship between dietary patterns and cancer. As stated in our prior comments (p. 7), we encourage the DGAC to make full use of the systematic literature reviews and reports of the AICR/WCRF CUP, which regularly review the research regarding dietary patterns and components that may define dietary patterns and their impact on cancer risk. The conclusions, grades, and links to the relevant systematic literature reviews from AICR/WCRF’s CUP are provided in the enclosed chart.

For the four cancer types considered by the 2015 DGAC (breast, colorectal, prostate, and lung), the results of AICR/WCRF’s systematic literature reviews are largely consistent with those of the 2015 NESR reviews. However, we wish to highlight that AICR/WCRF’s review for colorectal cancer shows strong (convincing and probable) evidence for dietary components that both increase and decrease risk, while NESR’s review for dietary patterns and colorectal cancer was graded moderate. Given this discrepancy, if limited capacity prevents the DGAC from updating all four of the 2015 NESR reviews related to dietary patterns and cancer, we urge the DGAC to prioritize updating the systematic review for colorectal cancer.

With respect to additional cancer types not reviewed by the 2015 DGAC, we suggest that the DGAC prioritize reviews on dietary patterns and liver and endometrial cancers. AICR/WCRF’s CUP found strong evidence that coffee decreases the risk for both liver and endometrial cancers. There is also strong evidence that glycemic load increases the risk for endometrial cancer. Links to the systematic literature reviews can be found in the enclosed chart.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we recognize the challenge faced by the DGAC members, NESR team, and other federal staff in reviewing vast amounts of research and providing conclusions and recommendations that reflect the current status of the evidence for more than 60 research questions in a limited time span. While we acknowledge and appreciate all of their efforts, as well as the opportunity to provide input throughout the process, we believe that this need to prioritize established research questions could have been reduced had a decision been made earlier in the process for the DGAC to use existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses, such as those produced through AICR/WCRF’s CUP, to answer its research questions.

We look forward to continuing to provide input and serve as a resource for the Committee and federal staff as the DGAC completes its work and the next phase of development of the 2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines begins.

Please feel free to contact Deirdre McGinley-Gieser, Senior Vice President of Programs, at d.mcginley-gieser@aicr.org or 703-237-0159 if you have any questions or we can provide any additional information.

Sincerely,

Kelly B. Browning
Chief Executive Officer
American Institute for Cancer Research
November 7, 2019

Barbara Schneeman, PhD
Chair, 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee
c/o Eve Stoody, PhD
Designated Federal Officer
Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion
Food and Nutrition Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1034
Alexandria, VA 22301

Dear Dr. Schneeman and Members of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee:

The American Institute for Cancer Research (AICR) presents these comments in response to the publication of the draft Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (DGAC) protocol for the research question, “What is the relationship between dietary patterns consumed at each stage of life and risk of certain types of cancer?”

AICR is part of the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) network and the leading U.S. authority on the links between diet, weight, physical activity and cancer prevention and survival. Our mission is to champion the latest and most authoritative scientific research from around the world on cancer prevention and survival, relevant to these lifestyle factors, to help people make informed choices to reduce their cancer risk.

Since 2007, AICR/WCRF have conducted the Continuous Update Project (CUP) that comprehensively analyzes and synthesizes the global scientific research on the roles of diet, weight, and physical activity in cancer risk and outcomes. The analyses and evidence syntheses are published as Continuous Update Project (CUP) Reports and Expert Reports. The CUP has produced a series of reports on specific cancer sites over the last 10 years. Most recently, AICR/WCRF published our Third Expert Report in May 2018, *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective*. The evidence for each exposure is rigorously assessed through systematic literature reviews, meta-analyses and deliberation by an expert panel.

Most human research related to diet, weight, physical activity and cancer is from observational studies. The definitive demonstration of causality is challenging for many lifestyle exposures, particularly when intervention trials would be unethical or unfeasible. To address this unavoidable limitation, the CUP Expert Panel applies modified Bradford-Hill criteria to assess the strength of evidence and determine the likelihood that each factor is causally related to cancer development. The Third Expert Report includes AICR’s *Cancer Prevention Recommendations*, each of which is supported by strong evidence. These recommendations provide individuals with a blueprint for healthy living to reduce their risk of cancer. AICR uses these recommendations as the scientific basis for our work, which includes education, health information campaigns, behavioral change programs, and public policy advocacy.
Dietary Patterns and Cancer
The evidence summarized in the recent WCRF/AICR Third Expert Report indicates that a dietary pattern that is high in whole grains, fruit, and non-starchy vegetables, low in fast foods (highly processed foods high in fat, starches, or sugars), low in red and processed meats, avoids sugar-sweetened beverages, and includes little or no alcohol consumption provides the most prudent approach to lowering risk of cancer.¹

AICR’s research has determined that there is strong evidence (convincing or probable) that each of these foods and beverages increase or decrease risk of cancer.²

- Whole grains: Strong (probable) evidence of a decreased risk of colorectal cancer
- Foods containing fiber: Strong (probable) evidence of a decreased risk of colorectal cancer
- Processed meat: Strong (convincing) evidence of an increased risk of colorectal cancer
- Red meat: Strong (probable) evidence of an increased risk of colorectal cancer
- Dairy products: Strong (probable) evidence of a decreased risk of colorectal cancer
- Coffee: Strong (probable) evidence of a decreased risk of liver and endometrium cancer

We suggest that the DGAC review these relationships as part of its review of the evidence on the relationship between dietary patterns and cancer.

AICR’s research has also found strong (convincing or probable) evidence of a decreased risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity with a Mediterranean type dietary pattern and an increased risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity with a Western type dietary pattern, fast foods, and sugar-sweetened beverages.³ Excess weight is a causal factor for 12 types of cancer.⁴ Therefore, foods, beverages, and dietary patterns that increase the risk for obesity should be seen as increasing the risk for cancer, while foods, beverages, and dietary patterns that decrease the risk for obesity should be seen as decreasing cancer risk.

The appended table describes the dietary risk factors for each of the cancer types that, according to the recently published protocol, will be reviewed by the DGAC in response to this research question. To support the DGAC using AICR’s research in its review of the evidence, the table also includes links to the relevant AICR CUP Reports and Systematic Literature Reviews that contain the detailed analyses and conclusions for each cancer type.

Definition of Dietary Patterns
AICR supports the use of a consistent definition of “dietary patterns” across the research protocols. We appreciate that the definition of dietary pattern is broad and encompasses both named and unnamed dietary patterns. However, it should be noted that defining a pattern on the basis of a single

---

² Ibid.
⁴ Ibid.
component, independent of a clearly defined dietary pattern, may not adequately reflect typical intakes of components with which they may be associated. We have concerns that the proposed definition could misrepresent dietary patterns based on the consumption or lack of consumption of a specific food. For example, if a dietary pattern characterized by high intake of red and processed meats commonly also includes low vegetable intake, it is possible that associations with the high red and processed meat dietary pattern could be driven, at least in part, by the low vegetable intake. The low vegetable component of the dietary pattern would not be acknowledged by identification of a dietary pattern characterized solely by high red and processed meats.

AICR also supports examining dietary patterns based on their proportions of macronutrients.

In addition, we suggest the DGAC examine the relationship with both cancer and obesity/excess weight/weight gain of a dietary pattern comprised primarily of foods that are minimally processed compared with a dietary pattern comprised primarily of highly processed foods. AICR’s recommendations emphasize foods that are minimally processed.

**Endpoint Outcomes and Populations of Interest**

We support the DGAC reviewing the evidence regarding the relationship with dietary patterns and the cancer types listed as endpoints in the DGAC’s analytic framework. We agree that the protocols have identified the appropriate populations for both the interventions/exposures and endpoints. We also agree with the focus on cancer incidence and the exclusion of studies that exclusively examine cancer-related mortality, prevalence, survivorship, and recurrence.

**Study Design**

We support the study design except for the inclusion of case control studies for liver, pancreatic, and endometrial cancers. The protocol states that case-control studies will be included in the systematic literature reviews and evidence synthesis for liver, pancreatic, and endometrial cancers and childhood leukemia due to their low incidence but will be excluded from evaluations of the remaining cancer types. The AICR/WCRF CUP analyses excluded data from case-control studies for liver, pancreatic and endometrial cancer with the exception of one case-control study on isoflavone intake and endometrial cancer (by request of the Panel). Excluding these studies meant that some exposures could not be evaluated by meta-analysis. The absence of a reported association would be preferable to an incorrect conclusion, given the susceptibility of case control studies to bias, and the observed inconsistencies between previous results from case control studies and prospective cohorts/intervention trials. Therefore, we recommend that the DGAC exclude case control studies from its review of the evidence for liver, pancreatic and endometrial cancers as well.

**Updates to 2015 DGAC Reviews**

We support the DGAC building on the 2015 DGAC reviews regarding the relationship between dietary patterns and breast, colorectal, prostate and lung cancer. They concluded that there was moderate evidence of a relationship between dietary patterns and both colorectal cancer and breast cancer. However, the evidence was deemed inconclusive for prostate cancer and insufficient for lung cancer. The AICR/WCRF CUP analyses found strong evidence that dietary patterns impact the risk of both liver and endometrial cancer and limited/suggestive evidence of a relationship with pancreatic cancer. Therefore, we support the decision to build on the 2015 DGAC’s reviews and broaden the scope for the 2020 DGAC to examine the additional cancer sites of liver, pancreatic and endometrial cancer.
We believe it is appropriate that the systematic reviews for breast, colorectal, lung, and prostate cancers include research published December 2013-September 2019 for aspects of the question that were reviewed by the 2015 DGAC and research published January 2000-September 2019 for the relationship between macronutrient proportion diets and the risk of these four types of cancer, which was not reviewed by the 2015 DGAC. For the types of cancer that were not considered by the DGAC, we support the DGAC reviewing the literature published after January 2000.

**Key Confounders and Other Factors to Be Considered**
We agree with the factors noted to be key confounders. Since increased adult body fatness increases the risk of breast, colorectal, prostate, endometrial, liver and pancreatic cancers, we are particularly pleased that anthropometry is included as a key confounder. Among the cancer sites selected for review by the DGAC, only lung cancer is not linked with obesity. Therefore, careful consideration of the role of obesity in studies of dietary patterns will be essential. We also support the inclusion of total energy intake as an “Other Factor to Be Considered”.

In addition, as noted in our comments on the definition of dietary patterns above, consumption of other specific foods that are commonly consumed together as part of a specific dietary pattern may be a key confounder and should be acknowledged as such. For example, if low vegetable intake commonly exists as part of a dietary pattern characterized by high intake of red and processed meats, low vegetable intake should be considered a key confounder for that dietary pattern.

**Publication Status**
We acknowledge that the protocol explicitly states that only peer-reviewed literature will be eligible for inclusion in the Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review (NESR) reviews. We would like to emphasize that all of AICR/WCRF’s CUP Reports and systematic literature reviews are rigorously and transparently peer-reviewed. They are not published in academic journals due mainly to the volume of each output being greater than can be accommodated by such publications. However, considering the rigor, timeliness, and relevance of AICR/WCRF’s Reports and Systematic Literature Reviews to the DGAC’s research question, we hope that the DGAC will consider including AICR/WCRF’s Reports and Systematic Literature Reviews in its review of the evidence on dietary patterns and cancer. At minimum, AICR CUP Reports and Systematic Literature Reviews should assist the DGAC and NESR in the conduct of their own reviews. The appended table may be helpful in providing links to AICR’s research and recommendations linking specific dietary risk factors with specific cancer types.

**Conclusion**
In conclusion, we thank the DGAC for its consideration of the evidence regarding dietary patterns and cancer and appreciate the opportunity to provide input to inform the research protocol. We are pleased to continue to serve as a resource to the Committee and look forward to providing further input as the DGAC evidence review process moves forward.
If we can provide any additional information, please contact Deirdre McGinley-Gieser, AICR's Senior Vice President of Programs, at d.mcginley-gieser@aicr.org or 703-237-0159.

Sincerely,

Kelly B. Browning
Chief Executive Officer
American Institute for Cancer Research
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer Type</th>
<th>Dietary Risk Factors</th>
<th>Relevant AICR Report</th>
<th>Systematic Literature Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Colorectal  | • Red meat  
• Processed meat  
• Alcoholic drinks  
• Wholegrains (decreases risk)  
• Dairy products (decreases risk)  
• Foods containing dietary fiber (decreases risk)  
| Prostate    | • None for which strong evidence identified | [https://www.aicr.org/assets/docs/pdf/reports/2014-prostate-cancer-cup.pdf](https://www.aicr.org/assets/docs/pdf/reports/2014-prostate-cancer-cup.pdf) | Prostate SLR |
| Lung        | • High-dose beta carotene supplements  
| Liver       | • Alcoholic drinks  
• Aflatoxins  
• Coffee (decreases risk) | [https://www.aicr.org/assets/docs/pdf/reports/cup-report-liver-cancer.pdf](https://www.aicr.org/assets/docs/pdf/reports/cup-report-liver-cancer.pdf) | Liver SLR |
| Pancreatic  | • None for which strong evidence identified | [https://www.aicr.org/continuos-update-project/reports/pancreatic-cancer-2012-report.pdf](https://www.aicr.org/continuos-update-project/reports/pancreatic-cancer-2012-report.pdf) | Pancreatic SLR |
| Endometrial | • Coffee (decreases risk)  
July 24, 2019

Barbara Schneeman, PhD
Chair, 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee
c/o Eve Stoody, PhD
Designated Federal Officer
Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion
Food and Nutrition Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture
3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1034
Alexandria, VA 22301

Dear Dr. Schneeman and Members of the 2020 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee:

The American Institute for Cancer Research (AICR) presents these comments to support the work of the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (DGAC) as it reviews the evidence related to diet and health and develops its scientific report to inform the development of the 2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans (DGA).

AICR is the leading authority in the U.S. on the links between diet, weight, and physical activity, and cancer prevention and survival. Our mission is to champion the latest and most authoritative scientific research from around the world on cancer prevention and survival through these lifestyle factors to help people make informed choices to reduce their cancer risk.

AICR is the U.S. charity in the World Cancer Research Fund network (WCRF). Together we work to fund, gather, and comprehensively analyze global scientific research on the roles of diet, weight, and physical activity in cancer risk and publish expert reports. The Continuous Update Project (CUP) is the WCRF network’s ongoing program to do this work that underpins current cancer prevention recommendations and policy priorities. It is a trusted, authoritative scientific resource used by experts worldwide.

The CUP has produced a series of reports on specific cancer sites over the last 10 years and most recently AICR/WCRF published our latest Expert Report in May 2018, Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective. This report includes our Cancer Prevention Recommendations, which provide individuals with a blueprint for healthy living to reduce their risk of cancer. AICR uses these recommendations as the scientific basis for our work, which includes education, health information campaigns, behavioral change programs, and public policy advocacy.

In the following sections we will address these topics and detail the latest global evidence with respect to some of the DGAC’s specific scientific questions:

i. The process for the DGAC
ii. How research on the connection between diet and cancer is relevant to and can inform the work of the DGAC
iii. The current status of existing research and AICR’s Cancer Prevention Recommendations
iv. Dietary patterns and cancer
v. Alcohol consumption and cancer
vi. Dietary fat and cancer
vii. Dietary patterns and body weight
viii. Beverage consumption and body weight
ix. Added sugars and body weight
x. Breastfeeding and cancer

In developing these comments, AICR consulted with several of the leading experts on diet and cancer, including:

Kimberly Robien, PhD, RD, CSO, FAND. Associate Professor, Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, The George Washington University.

Susan E. Steck, PhD, MPH, RD. Professor, Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina.

Fred Tabung, PhD, MSPH. Assistant Professor, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University.

Fang Fang Zhang, PhD. Associate Professor, Friedman School of Nutrition, Tufts University School of Medicine.

We hope that you will consider these comments and research as you review the evidence and develop your scientific report to inform the 2020-2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans.

**Executive Summary**

Most importantly, AICR strongly recommends that the DGAC make full use of existing high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses conducted by researchers and organizations outside of the federal government, in addition to any conducted by government researchers. A determination to explicitly exclude the use of high-quality, scientifically-sound external systematic reviews and meta-analyses will reduce the efficiency and effectiveness of the DGAC process. AICR supports other changes to the process intended to improve transparency and recommends that the DGAC provide additional information regarding DGAC members’ conflicts of interest in the final report.

AICR/WCRF’s recent Expert Report, *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective*¹, Continuous Update Project (CUP) reports, and their associated systematic literature reviews (SLRs) are particularly important resources for the DGAC to use in reviewing the evidence regarding the relationship between dietary patterns, alcohol consumption, and dietary fat, and cancer risk. The WCRF/AICR CUP is unique in its comprehensive approach and methodological rigor, using *a priori* criteria for its search strategies, validated and tested research protocols, literature scans for other quality meta-analyses and pooled data studies, research conducted by an independent team, external peer review, and conclusions and recommendations developed by an independent, multi-disciplinary

international expert panel. We ask that the DGAC consider this methodology when designing its own evidence review methodology. Furthermore, the rigorous and transparent application of the CUP process for the Expert Reports and CUP Reports should give the Committee confidence in using AICR’s research to inform its own conclusions and recommendations.

With respect to the relationship between dietary patterns and cancer risk, overall, evidence summarized in WCRF/AICR’s recent expert report, *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective*\(^2\), indicates that a dietary pattern that is high in whole grains, fruit and non-starchy vegetables, low in fast foods (highly processed foods high in fat, starches, or sugars), low in red and processed meats, avoids sugar-sweetened beverages, and includes little or no alcohol consumption provides the most prudent approach to lowering risk of cancer.

With respect to alcohol consumption and cancer risk, systematic literature reviews conducted as part of WCRF/ AICR’s CUP have found strong evidence that consumption of alcoholic drinks increases the risk of six types of cancer\(^3\). While the amount of alcohol needed to increase cancer risk varies by cancer type, less than one small glass of alcohol per day significantly increases risk for cancers of the breast (both pre- and post-menopausal), esophagus, and mouth/pharynx/larynx\(^4\). Therefore, based on the totality of the evidence, we strongly suggest that the DGAC recommend that for cancer prevention, it’s best not to drink alcohol.

Regarding dietary fat and cancer risk, there is no strong evidence that dietary fat is directly linked to any individual type of cancer. Therefore, based on the available evidence, we do not recommend that the DGAC make recommendations regarding amount or type of dietary fat based on its relationship to cancer risk.

Given that overweight and obesity increase the risk for 12 types of cancer\(^5\), AICR’s comments also address research questions regarding the relationship between body weight and dietary patterns, beverage consumption, and added sugar consumption. Based on data in AICR’s recent CUP report Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness, the DGAC should acknowledge “probable” evidence that a Mediterranean type dietary pattern and foods containing dietary fiber decrease the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity, while there is “convincing” evidence that sugar-sweetened drinks and “probable” evidence that a Western type diet and fast foods (high in sugar, fat, and salt) increase the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity. Given this evidence, we strongly


suggest that the DGAC recommend following a Mediterranean type dietary pattern and eating sufficient dietary fiber to reduce the risk of overweight and obesity in adults. Consumption of a Western type diet high in added sugars, meats, and dietary fats; fast foods high in added sugars, starches, and fats; and sugar-sweetened drinks should be discouraged, as they promote excess energy intake.

In addition, AICR strongly supports the provision of a recommendation that new mothers should breastfeed their baby, if they can, as breastfeeding can offer cancer protection for both the mother and the child.6

The remainder of our comment letter provides additional detail regarding the conclusions and recommendations highlighted above.

**Use of Existing Research in the DGAC Update Process**

As described in more detail in a separate comment letter, which has been signed by 35 organizations, AICR strongly recommends that the DGAC continue to make full use of existing high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses conducted by researchers and organizations outside of the federal government, in addition to any conducted by government researchers. We believe that a determination to explicitly exclude the use of high-quality, scientifically-sound external systematic reviews and meta-analyses will reduce the efficiency and effectiveness of the DGAC process.

Several of the proposed DGAC research questions have been recently addressed by existing high-quality reviews and meta-analyses, and these reports provide important information concerning the relevant dose-response relationships needed to support the development of these important guidelines. In particular, as we will describe in more detail in our section on alcohol and cancer risk (page 13 of this letter), meta-analyses on the relationship between alcohol consumption and cancer risk show that alcohol increases the risk of six different types of cancer. However, the level of consumption associated with significantly increased risk differs substantially between these cancer types. While only heavy drinking increases the risk of a number of cancers, any alcohol consumption significantly raises the risk of both breast and esophageal cancers. Because the Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review (NESR) does not conduct meta-analyses, these dose-response patterns may not be apparent if the evidence is only assessed using systematic literature reviews conducted by NESR.

We believe that a decision to exclude the use of existing high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses would be an unnecessary and inefficient departure from the evidence review process used by the 2015 DGAC, which utilized existing high-quality external systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or reports to answer nearly half (45%) of its research questions.7 The 2015 DGAC utilized Nutrition Evidence Library (NEL; NESR’s predecessor) systematic reviews to answer only 27% percent of its questions.8 In fact, the 2017 report from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) on the optimal process for developing the Dietary Guidelines states, “use of existing systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and authoritative reports from leading organizations is generally

---

8 Ibid.
appropriate and encouraged by this National Academies committee, with the understanding that they ought to be relevant, timely, and of high quality. Although the NASEM notes, “existing systematic reviews may not use the same inclusion and exclusion criteria, may be out of date, or have different outcomes,” in the situations where existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses are high-quality, relevant, and timely, we strongly believe that they should be utilized. AICR/WCRF’s CUP systematic literature reviews on alcohol and cancer meets these criteria. Given the scale of the task for the DGAC, the finite capacity of the NESR team, and the short timeframe to address more than 80 research questions, NESR should utilize the full body of existing science and focus its time and resources most efficiently—on updates to existing high-quality systematic reviews and development of new ones on topics for which they do not already exist.

Additional Comments Regarding the DGAC Process

AICR supports several other changes to the DGAC process, particularly changes to the DGAC process that are intended to improve transparency. These include making systematic reviews and analyses publicly available online and using a peer review process before they are finalized. We also appreciate that the DGAC meeting dates and status updates are posted publicly online and that there will be an additional opportunity for public comments.

With respect to conflicts of interest, we are disappointed that the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) did not more fully incorporate NASEM’s recommendations regarding managing conflicts of interest. Therefore, we recommend that the DGAC provide additional information regarding DGAC members’ conflicts of interest in the final report, as is the case with peer-reviewed publications. This information should have already been made publicly available with the announcement of the DGAC.

AICR’s Research Methodology and Cancer Prevention Recommendations

The WCRF/AICR CUP is unique in its comprehensive approach and methodological rigor. Each systematic literature review is conducted according to a priori criteria concerning search strategies, inclusion and exclusion, and data abstraction; these detailed protocols were tested and validated for reproducibility before implementation. Criteria for the conduct and updating of meta-analyses, for all relevant exposures, are integrated into the CUP SLR process. CUP reports also include literature scans for other

---

10 Ibid, p. 82.
meta-analyses and pooled data studies. An independent research team conducts the SLRs and meta-analyses. Each SLR report is externally peer-reviewed, and the conclusions are drawn during in-person meetings of an independent, multidisciplinary, international expert panel. This process involves more than 100 scientists worldwide.

We ask that the DGAC consider this methodology when designing its own evidence review methodology. Furthermore, the rigorous and transparent application of the CUP process for the Expert Report and CUP Reports should give the Committee confidence in using AICR’s research to inform its own conclusions and recommendations. AICR’s Cancer Prevention Recommendations, outlined below, are derived from these systematic reviews and meta-analyses of epidemiological evidence, supported by experimental evidence from human and animal studies. The best evidence that diet and nutrition can modify the risk of cancer comes from an integration of epidemiological and other study designs, supported by evidence of plausible biological mechanisms. Consequently, comprehensive evidence was collected in the form of 17 SLRs on cancer prevention, which systematically analyzed individual studies and pooling studies. The CUP panel interpreted the evidence from these reviews and analyses in the context of available experimental evidence to assess biological plausibility, according to the predetermined criteria for judging the evidence. In addition, since 12 of the 17 cancers reviewed are linked to greater body fatness, a separate review on the determinants of body fat was conducted as a review of published reviews. The CUP panel of independent experts made recommendations when they judged that a particular exposure was “convincingly” or “probably” causally linked to cancer risk. All materials, including protocols, SLRs, and reports are available to access free at www.dietandcancerreport.org.

Based on its comprehensive review of the evidence, AICR’s 10 Cancer Prevention Recommendations are:

1. Be a healthy weight
2. Be physically active
3. Eat a diet rich in whole grains, vegetables, fruits and beans
4. Limit consumption of “fast foods” and other processed foods high in fat, starches, or sugars
5. Limit consumption of red and processed meat
6. Limit consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks
7. Limit alcohol consumption
8. Do not use supplements for cancer prevention
9. For mothers: breastfeeding your baby, if you can
10. After a cancer diagnosis: follow our recommendations, if you can

Given the level of scientific rigor and comprehensiveness of the reviews and meta-analyses conducted by WCRF/AICR, we urge the DGAC to consider the WCRF/AICR CUP and Expert Report that are directly relevant to the specific questions that have been posed.
Dietary Patterns Subgroup

What is the relationship between dietary patterns consumed at each stage of life and risk of certain types of cancer?

Sources of Evidence and Types of Cancer

In responding to this research question, we urge the DGAC to make full use of the SLRs and reports of the WCRF/AICR CUP, which regularly review the research regarding dietary patterns and components that may define dietary patterns and their impact on cancer risk. As noted previously, the WCRF/AICR CUP is unique in its comprehensive approach and methodological rigor. All materials, including protocols, SLRs, and reports are available to access free at www.dietandcancerreport.org. The CUP reports provide high quality evidence for the components of dietary patterns and cancer risk. We also recommend that the DGAC utilize additional, existing up-to-date, high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

In reviewing the evidence regarding the relationship between dietary patterns and cancer risk, we suggest that the DGAC consider the following types of cancer related directly to specific dietary patterns or components of dietary patterns: breast, colorectal, endometrial, aerodigestive, liver, endometrium, stomach, esophagus, and mouth, pharynx, and larynx. Additionally, obesity is a significant causative factor for some of these cancers as well as cancers of the prostate, pancreas, kidney, gall bladder, ovary, and cervix. Therefore, the grading for dietary patterns (or components that may define a dietary pattern) associated with an increased or decreased risk of weight gain, overweight, or obesity are presented in table 2. It is important to note that obesity is a strong risk factor for 12 types of cancer; therefore, dietary patterns that increase the risk for obesity also increase the risk for obesity-related cancers. We suggest the DGAC restrict its review in responding to this research question to studies conducted in adults, as dietary patterns and obesity are not known to impact the risk for childhood cancers.

Although the protocol for this specific question has not yet been published, other protocols for the reviews of dietary patterns evidence (e.g. https://www.dietaryguidelines.gov/dietary-patterns-and-body-weight) have defined a dietary pattern as: “For this question, dietary patterns is defined as the quantities, proportions, variety, or combination of different foods, drinks, and nutrients (when available) in diets, and the frequency with which they are habitually consumed.” We support the use of this definition for the question on dietary patterns and cancer, as well.

Research Conclusions

In the three decades that AICR has been conducting comprehensive reviews and analysis of the impact of diet on cancer risk, there has been a transition within the relevant fields of research from a reductionist, nutrient-focused approach to an approach that considers the broader composition of diet and the patterns of overall intake. This transition has occurred largely because the effects attributed to individual food items or nutrients, based on earlier research from mostly case-control studies that were particularly susceptible to recall bias, were not replicated when these exposures were assessed in more rigorous prospective study designs. AICR/WCRF have recently assessed the totality of the evidence linking dietary patterns with several types of cancer from prospective cohorts and randomized controlled trials. Overall, evidence summarized in AICR and WCRF’s recent Expert Report, Diet,
Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective\textsuperscript{13}, indicates that a dietary pattern that is high in whole grains, fruit and non-starchy vegetables, low in fast foods (that are high in fat, starches or sugars), low in red and processed meat, avoids sugar-sweetened beverages, and includes little or no alcohol consumption provides the most prudent approach to lowering risk of cancer.

The nature, quantity, and proportion of different foods and drinks in diets and the frequency with which they are consumed, constitute dietary patterns. The impact of diet and nutrition on health is generally determined by dietary patterns coupled with physical activity and other factors rather than individual foods and drinks or specific dietary constituents. However, dietary patterns are difficult to characterize, and are rarely a focus of epidemiological and experimental investigations. Specific foods and dietary components are more commonly addressed. The specific evidence relating to dietary patterns that have been defined by the instruments used for dietary data collection are summarized below.

Table 2 summarizes the dietary patterns and components of dietary patterns for which the CUP Expert Panel judged the evidence for a causative contribution to cancer risk to be strong (“convincing” or “probable”). Several of these dietary patterns/components affect multiple types of cancer and impact some of the most common types of cancer with which Americans are diagnosed. In particular, colorectal cancer, the second most commonly diagnosed cancer in the U.S. with > 145,000 cases diagnosed in 2019, is impacted by several dietary patterns/components\textsuperscript{14}.

**Recommendations to DGAC**

Consistent with AICR/WCRF’s Cancer Prevention Recommendations that are based on a comprehensive systematic review, meta-analyses and judgement of the evidence, we strongly recommend that the DGAC guidelines advise consuming a diet rich in whole grains, vegetables, fruits, and beans and that limits consumption of “fast foods” and other processed foods high in fat, starches, or sugars, red meats and processed meats, and sugar-sweetened drinks. As noted above, this type of diet has been shown to increase the risk of colorectum, esophagus, mouth, pharynx and larynx, liver, breast (both pre- and post-menopausal), liver, stomach, and endometrium cancers.

It is particularly important that the DGAC acknowledge the importance of limiting red meats, such as beef, lamb, and pork, and processed meats. Even small amounts of processed meat eaten regularly (0.5 ounces/day) increases the risk of colorectal cancer; also, eating more than 18 ounces of red meat per week increases the risk of colorectal cancer. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), part of the World Health Organization (WHO), has classified processed meat as a Group 1 carcinogen, meaning it is carcinogenic to humans, based on sufficient evidence in humans that the consumption of processed meat causes colorectal cancer. Red meat is classified as a Group 2A carcinogen, meaning it is probably carcinogenic to humans, based on limited evidence that the consumption of red meat causes cancer in humans and strong mechanistic evidence supporting a carcinogenic effect\textsuperscript{15}. The 2015 DGAC concluded that: “The overall body of evidence examined by the 2015 DGAC identifies that a healthy dietary pattern is higher in vegetables, fruits, whole grains, low- or non-fat dairy, seafood, legumes, and


nuts; moderate in alcohol (among adults); lower in red and processed meat; and low in sugar-
sweetened foods and drinks and refined grains\textsuperscript{16}. It further recommended: “Thus, the U.S. population
should be encouraged and guided to consume dietary patterns that are rich in vegetables, fruit, whole
grains, seafood, legumes, and nuts; moderate in low- and non-fat dairy products and alcohol (among adults); lower in red and processed meat; and low in sugar-sweetened foods and beverages and refined
grains\textsuperscript{17}.” The 2015 DGAC acknowledged that these characteristics align with AICR’s recommendations.
We strongly urge the 2020 DGAC to make similar conclusions and recommendations as they pertain to
red and processed meats.

We also urge the DGAC to make a clear recommendation that for cancer prevention it is important to
increase the consumption of whole grains and other high-fiber foods, and fruit and non-starchy
vegetables, in place of refined grains. The DGAC should also recommend reducing consumption of fast
foods (that are high in fat, starches, or sugars), avoiding sugar-sweetened beverages, and consuming
little or no alcohol to lower risk of cancer.

The following table summarizes the dietary patterns and components of dietary patterns for which the
CUP Expert Panel judged the evidence for a causative contribution to cancer risk to be strong
(“convincing” or “probable”).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Dietary Pattern & Components \hline
1. \textbf{Vegetables, fruit, and whole grains} & \textbf{Rich in complex carbohydrates, fiber, and nutrients; low in saturated fats, sugars, and
and processed meats.}

\textsuperscript{16} DGAC 2015, p. 2–3.
\textsuperscript{17} Ibid, p. 3.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIETARY PATTERNS (including dietary components that may define a dietary pattern)</th>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK</th>
<th>CANCER SITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONVINCING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Processed Meat</td>
<td>Colorectum&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRONG EVIDENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Esophagus&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouth, Pharynx &amp; Larynx&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liver&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast (post-menopause)&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


<sup>22</sup> WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and colorectal cancer, p. 63-69; WCRF/AICR. Associations between food, nutrition and physical activity and the risk of colorectal cancer, p. 639-675.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK</th>
<th>CANCER SITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole grains</td>
<td>Breast (pre-menopause)</td>
<td>Breast (pre-menopause)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foods containing dietary fiber</td>
<td>Red Meat</td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-starchy vegetables and fruit</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dairy products</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRONG EVIDENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouth, Pharynx &amp; Larynx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Endometrium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


26 WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and colorectal cancer. p. 32-40.


28 WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and colorectal cancer, p. 15-18.


30 WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and mouth, pharynx & larynx cancer, p. 22-30.

31 WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and colorectal cancer, p. 45-57.

32 WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and liver cancer, p. 19-22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIETARY PATTERNS (including dietary components that may define a dietary pattern)</th>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRONG EVIDENCE</td>
<td>CONVINCING</td>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>Sugar-sweetened drinks[^34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast Foods[^35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Western-type diet[^36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foods containing fiber[^37]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean type dietary pattern[^38]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having been breast fed[^39]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


[^37] Ibid, p. 35–37.

[^38] Ibid, p. 37–41.

Beverages and Added Sugars Subgroup

What is the relationship between alcohol consumption and risk of certain types of cancer?

Sources of Evidence and Types of Cancer

In responding to this research question, we strongly recommend that the DGAC utilize existing up-to-date, high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses and make conclusions based on the totality of the evidence linking alcohol consumption with several types of cancer. In particular, AICR/WCRF’s recent Third Expert Report *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Cancer: a Global Perspective* ⁴⁰ and the Continuous Update Project (CUP) reports on the link between diet, nutrition, physical activity, and cancers of the mouth/pharynx/larynx, esophagus, liver, colorectum, breast (both pre- and post-menopausal), and stomach contains high-quality reviews and meta-analyses on the impact of alcohol consumption and dietary patterns that are timely, of high quality, and directly relevant to the specific questions posed by the DGAC. An additional resource the DGAC should consider is a recent analysis by Islami and colleagues at the American Cancer Society providing estimates of the proportion and number of cancer cases and deaths attributable to potentially modifiable risk factors, including alcohol consumption ⁴¹. These resources specifically address the corresponding question posed to the DGAC; therefore, duplicating new systematic reviews on this topic, when high-quality systematic reviews have already been conducted by the leading experts in the field and published within the last two years, may not be the best use of the finite resources of the DGAC/NESR.

In answering this research question, we suggest that the DGAC review the research on the link between alcohol consumption and the following seven types of cancer: (1) mouth/pharynx/larynx, (2) esophagus, (3) liver, (4) colorectum, (5) breast, (6) stomach, and (7) kidney.

Research Conclusions

In total, research shows that 4.8 percent of cancer cases and 4.3 percent of cancer deaths in men and 6.4 percent of cancer cases and 3.6 percent of cancer deaths in women are due to alcohol consumption, the third leading cancer risk factor in women and the fourth leading risk factor in men ⁴². Systematic literature reviews conducted as part of WCRF/AICR’s CUP have found strong evidence that consumption of alcoholic drinks increases the risk of mouth/pharynx/larynx, esophagus, liver, colorectum, breast (pre- and post-menopausal), and stomach cancers. While the amount of alcohol needed to increase cancer risk varies by cancer type, less than one small glass of alcohol per day significantly increases risk for cancers of the breast (both pre- and post-menopausal), esophagus, and mouth/pharynx/larynx.

While up to two alcoholic drinks per day decreases the risk of kidney cancer, any potential benefit of moderate alcohol consumption for reducing kidney cancer risk is likely to be more than outweighed by the increased risk for the six types of cancer noted above.

Recommendations to DGAC

---


⁴² Ibid.
Based on the totality of the evidence, we strongly suggest that the DGAC recommend that for cancer prevention, it’s best not to drink alcohol. Given that risk for breast cancer and several other cancer types begins to increase even below the current recommended limits of one drink per day for women and two drinks per day for men, it is imperative that the DGAC acknowledge this increased risk and consider whether the existing recommendation is appropriate. Breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer in women in the US43.

The DGAC recommendation that for cancer prevention it’s best not to drink alcohol – at all – is a simple public health message given the high variability in alcohol content in a single drink, that different cancers have different thresholds for increased cancer risk, and some cancer types (e.g., breast) do not have thresholds, meaning that even small/moderate amounts may be harmful. This is particularly important given that a recent survey of 1,004 U.S. adults found that more than 60 percent of respondents did not know that alcohol increases cancer risk44. Therefore, including a conclusion and recommendation in the DGAC report regarding alcohol and cancer risk is important for increasing awareness of the alcohol and cancer link. The Committee should also make it clear that alcoholic drinks of all types – including beer, wine, and spirits – increase cancer risk.

---

The table below provides a summary of the relationship between alcohol consumption and risk of various cancer types.45

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK</th>
<th>CANCER SITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONVINCING</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Mouth, Pharynx &amp; Larynx46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Esophagus47</td>
<td>Liver48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colorectum49</td>
<td>Breast (post-menopause)50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRONG EVIDENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Stomach51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breast (pre-menopause)52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52 WCRF/AICR. Breast Cancer, p. 34-43.
In addition to AICR, the American Society for Clinical Oncology (ASCO), the leading association for oncology professionals with nearly 45,000 members\(^{53}\), has issued a position statement acknowledging that a proactive stance to minimizing excessive exposure to alcohol has important implications for cancer prevention\(^ {54}\). The American Cancer Society also acknowledges alcohol consumption as a risk factor for cancer and recommends that people who drink alcohol limit their consumption, noting that even a few drinks per week may increase breast cancer risk\(^ {55}\). The American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology also recommend limiting alcohol consumption among adults who drink to no more than two drinks per day for men or one drink per day for women for prevention and treatment of hypertension\(^ {56}\). For adults who do not drink, the American Heart Association does not recommend to begin drinking for a potential cardiovascular benefit, noting that the benefits of alcohol consumption may also be achieved through other means, such as physical activity and consumption of fruits and vegetables, and that alcohol consumption may be harmful for other reasons, including increased risk of breast cancer\(^ {57}\).

**Dietary Fats and Seafood Subgroup**

What is the relationship between types of dietary fat consumed at each stage of life and risk of certain types of cancer?

*Sources of Evidence*

In responding to this research question, we urge the DGAC to make use of the WCRF/AICR (CUP) reports. All materials, including protocols, systematic literature reviews, and reports are available to access free at www.dietandcancerreport.org. We also urge the DGAC to make full use of other existing high-quality systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and reports conducted by researchers and organizations outside of the federal government.

*Research Conclusions*

The WCRF/AICR CUP has assessed the totality of the evidence linking dietary fat with several types of cancer. There is no strong evidence that dietary fat is linked directly to an increased risk of any individual type of cancer. However, there is strong evidence that a Western type diet, characterized by high levels of dietary fat, is a probable cause of weight gain, overweight, and obesity, factors that are linked to increased risk of at least 12 types of cancer. There is limited-suggestive evidence that diets high in saturated fats may increase the risk of pancreatic cancer.

---


Recommendations to DGAC

Based on the available evidence, we do not recommend that the DGAC make recommendations regarding amount or type of dietary fat based on its relationship to cancer risk. However, the DGAC should acknowledge that a Western type diet, high in fats from animal sources, increases the risk for weight gain, overweight, and obesity, which increases cancer risk.

Based on the totality of the evidence, a dietary pattern that is high in whole grains, fruit and non-starchy vegetables, low in fast foods (that are high in fat, starches, or sugars), low in red and processed meats, avoids sugar-sweetened beverages, and includes little or no alcohol consumption provides the most prudent approach to lowering risk of cancer.

Dietary Patterns Subgroup

What is the relationship between dietary patterns consumed at each stage of life and growth, size, body composition, and risk of overweight and obesity?

Sources of Evidence

In responding to this research question, we strongly recommend that the DGAC utilize WCRF/AICR’s recent report, Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness\(^{58}\), which is part of its Continuous Update Project (CUP). AICR and WCRF commissioned this research because of the importance of a healthy body weight for cancer prevention and survivorship and the benefits of a cancer-protective dietary pattern in achieving and maintaining a healthy body weight as well as reducing the risk for adult weight gain, a risk factor for several obesity-related cancers. Another key resource is the IARC working group report no.10, Energy Balance and Obesity\(^{59}\), published in 2017. The DGAC should also review other existing high-quality systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

Additional research that the DGAC may want to use in answering this research question includes:

1. NCI Dietary Patterns Methods Project: [https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/dietary-patterns](https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/dietary-patterns)
3. Schulze MB et al, Food based dietary patterns and chronic disease prevention, BMJ 2018

In answering this research question, we suggest that the DGAC review the research on the impact of a Mediterranean type dietary pattern, a Western type dietary pattern, a dietary pattern high in foods containing dietary fiber, a dietary pattern high in fast foods, and a dietary pattern high in sugar-sweetened drinks on body weight\(^{60}\). This research focuses on body weight, typically using Body Mass Index (BMI), rather than body composition, where the evidence is more limited. BMI is used as a


surrogate for adiposity because reliable, objectively-measured body composition data are relatively scarce. Evidence that has included body composition data, when available, should be considered more robust in defining obesity and its relationship with dietary patterns than evidence using BMI alone.

Research Conclusions

WCRF/AICR’s recent report, Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness, judged the evidence to be “convincing” that sugar-sweetened drinks increase the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity. In addition, the evidence was judged as “probable” that a Mediterranean type dietary pattern and foods containing dietary fiber decreases the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity. The evidence was also judged as “probable” that a Western type diet and fast foods (high in sugar, fat, and salt) increase the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity.

The research conclusions regarding the relationship between sugar-sweetened drinks and weight gain, overweight, and obesity are described in the following sections in response to research questions regarding the relationships between 1) beverage consumption and risk of overweight and obesity and 2) added sugars consumption and risk of overweight and obesity.

Recommendations to DGAC

Based on the totality of the evidence, we strongly suggest that the DGAC recommend following a Mediterranean type dietary pattern and eating sufficient dietary fiber to reduce the risk of overweight and obesity in adults. The DGAC should clearly state that a Western type diet, high in added sugars, meats, and dietary fats; fast foods high in added sugars, starches, and fats; and sugar-sweetened drinks should be discouraged, as they promote excess energy intake.

Table 4 below provides a summary of the relationship between diet, physical activity and weight gain, overweight, and obesity in adults and children.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIETARY PATTERNS (including dietary components that may define a dietary pattern)</th>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT, AND OBESITY</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT, AND OBESITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STRONG EVIDENCE</td>
<td>CONVINCING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>Sugar-sweetened drinks(^{62})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast foods(^{63})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Western type diet(^{64})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Foods containing fiber(^{65})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mediterranean type dietary pattern(^{66})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Having been breast fed(^{67})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{62}\) WCRF/AICR. Energy balance and body fatness, p. 44-49.
\(^{63}\) Ibid, p. 49-55.
\(^{64}\) Ibid, p. 55-65.
\(^{65}\) Ibid, p. 35-37.
\(^{66}\) Ibid, p. 37-41.
**Beverages and Added Sugars Subgroup**

What is the relationship between beverage consumption and growth, size, body composition, and risk of overweight and obesity?

**Sources of Evidence and Link to Risk of Overweight and Obesity**

A key resource for the DGAC in responding to this research question should include WCRF/AICR’s recent report, Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness. AICR and WCRF commissioned this research because of the importance of a healthy body weight for cancer prevention and survivorship. We strongly recommend that the DGAC also use other existing up-to-date, high-quality systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. Conducting new systematic reviews of primary literature on this topic, when high-quality systematic reviews have already been conducted by the leading experts in the field and published within the last two years, may not be the best use of the finite resources of the DGAC/NESR.

In answering this research question, we suggest that the DGAC review the research on the link between sugar-sweetened drinks and body weight found in chapter 7, “Evidence and Judgements: Sugar-Sweetened Drinks” and in the Systematic Literature Review.

**Research Conclusions**

Sugar-sweetened drinks are defined as liquids that are sweetened by adding sugars, such as sucrose or high-fructose corn syrup, and sugar naturally present in honey syrups, fruit juices, and fruit juice concentrate. These drinks include, for example, sodas (not sugar-free versions), sports drinks, energy drinks, sweetened waters, and coffee- and tea-based beverages with sugars or syrups added.

A review conducted as part of the WCRF/AICR’s CUP has found strong evidence that consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks increases the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity. Overall, the evidence for an increased risk of adiposity in both adults and children with increased consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks is strong and consistent.

Three published reviews conducted meta-analyses investigating consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and adiposity in adults. Results from meta-analyses both of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies report significant positive (adverse) relationships of sugar-sweetened drink consumption on changes in weight. Three published reviews conducted meta-analyses investigating consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and adiposity in children. Results from meta-analyses both of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies reported positive (adverse) relationships of sugar-sweetened drink consumption on measures of adiposity.

---

69 Ibid, p. 44-49.
70 Ibid, p. 121-139.
71 Ibid.
72 Ibid, p. 130-139.
73 Ibid, p. 121-130.
Recommendations to DGAC

Based on the totality of the evidence, we strongly suggest that the DGAC recommend limiting consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks. Instead, adults and children should drink mostly water and unsweetened drinks to avoid weight gain, overweight, and obesity. In addition, the DGAC should clearly state that fruit juice should not be consumed in large quantities, even with no added sugar, as they are likely to promote weight gain in a similar way to sugar-sweetened drinks. AICR recommends that no more than one serving of fruit or vegetable juice per day count toward daily fruit and vegetable consumption.
The chart below provides a summary of the relationship between sugar-sweetened drinks and risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity in adults and children.

*Table 5*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BEVERAGE CONSUMPTION</th>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT, AND OBESITY</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT, AND OBESITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STRONG EVIDENCE</td>
<td>CONVINCING</td>
<td>Sugar-sweetened drinks[^24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^24]: WCRF/AICR. Energy balance and body fatness, p. 121-139.
**Beverages and Added Sugars Subgroup**

What is the relationship between added sugars consumption and growth, size, body composition, and risk of overweight and obesity?

**Sources of Evidence**

In responding to this research question, a key resource should be WCRF/AICR’s report, *Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness*, published in October 2018. AICR and WCRF commissioned this research because of the importance of a healthy body weight for cancer prevention and survivorship. The DGAC should also consider additional, existing up-to-date, high-quality systematic reviews, and conclusions on the topic. These resources specifically address the question posed to the DGAC; therefore, conducting new systematic reviews of primary literature on this topic, when high-quality systematic reviews have already been conducted by the leading experts in the field and recently published, may not be the best use of the finite resources of the DGAC/NESR.

In answering this research question, we suggest that the DGAC review the research on the link between sugar-sweetened drinks and body weight found in chapter 7, “Evidence and Judgements: Sugar-Sweetened Drinks”\(^7^5\) and in the Systematic Literature Review\(^7^6\). We also suggest that the DGAC review the research on the link between greater consumption of “fast foods” and other processed foods high in fat, starches, or sugars to weight gain, overweight, and obesity, found in *Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective*\(^7^7\) and *Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness*\(^7^8\).

**Research Conclusions**

The leading source of added sugars in Americans’ diets is sugar-sweetened drinks\(^7^9\). Sugar-sweetened drinks are defined as liquids that are sweetened by adding sugars, such as sucrose or high-fructose corn syrup, and sugar naturally present in honey syrups, fruit juices, and fruit juice concentrate. These drinks include, for example, sodas (not sugar-free versions), sports drinks, energy drinks, sweetened waters, and coffee and tea-based beverages with sugars or syrups added.

As described in the prior section on the link between beverage consumption and overweight and obesity, a review conducted as part of the WCRF/AICR’s CUP has found strong evidence that consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks increases the risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity\(^8^0\). Overall, the evidence for an increased risk of adiposity in both adults and children with increased

---

consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks was strong and consistent. Three published reviews conducted meta-analyses investigating consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and adiposity in adults. Results from meta-analyses both of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies report significant positive (adverse) relationships of sugar-sweetened drink consumption on changes in weight. Three published reviews conducted meta-analyses investigating consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and adiposity in children. Results from meta-analyses both of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies reported positive (adverse) relationships of sugar-sweetened drink consumption on measures of adiposity.

81 WCRF/AICR. Diet, nutrition and physical activity: Energy balance and body fatness, p. 130-139.
82 Ibid, p. 121-139.
The chart below provides a summary of the relationship between added sugars and risk of weight gain, overweight, and obesity in adults and children.

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDED SUGARS</th>
<th>WCRF/AICR GRADING</th>
<th>DECREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT, AND OBESITY</th>
<th>INCREASE RISK OF WEIGHT GAIN, OVERWEIGHT, AND OBESITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONVINCING</td>
<td>Sugar-sweetened drinks(^{83})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>Fast foods(^{84})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^{84}\) Ibid, p.49-55.
There is also strong evidence that diets containing greater amounts of “fast foods” and other processed foods high in fat, starches, or sugars, and consuming a Western type diet (characterized by a high amount of free sugars, meat, and fat), are causes of weight gain, overweight and obesity by increasing the risk of excess energy intake relative to expenditure\textsuperscript{85}. Greater body fatness is a cause of at least 12 types of cancer.

It is important to note that most of the evidence on fast foods is from studies of foods such as burgers, fried chicken pieces, chips (French fries) but does include high-calorie drinks (containing sugars, such as cola, or fat, such as shakes)\textsuperscript{86}. While most foods undergo some form of processing before consumption, more highly processed foods have generally undergone industrial processing and are often higher in energy and lower in micronutrients. These foods include potato products such as chips; products made from white flour such as bread, pasta, and pizza; cakes, pastries, and cookies; and confectionery such as candy.

**Recommendations to DGAC**

Based on this evidence, we strongly suggest that DGAC recommend limiting consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks. Instead, adults and children should drink mostly water and unsweetened drinks to avoid weight gain, overweight, and obesity. We also suggest that the DGAC recommend that individuals limit the consumption of processed foods high in sugars, starches, or fats – including fast foods; many pre-prepared dishes, snacks, bakery foods, and desserts; and confectionery (candy).

**Pregnancy and Lactation Subgroup/Birth–24 Months Subgroup**

**Breastfeeding and Cancer**

AICR is pleased that the DGAC will, for the first time, include dietary recommendations for infants and toddlers under 24 months old in the 2020–2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. As the leading experts in the field of lifestyle factors and cancer, AICR strongly supports the provision of a recommendation that new mothers should breastfeed their baby, if they can, as breastfeeding can offer cancer protection for both mother and child. Multiple studies have shown a marked decrease in breast cancer risk for the mother per five-month increase of breastfeeding duration\textsuperscript{87}. Although this reduction is modest, it adds to the growing literature that breastfeeding can assist in preventing the development of chronic diseases in women post-partum. Breastfeeding can also help to prevent excess weight gain in the child, which lowers their cancer risk as adults\textsuperscript{88}. As stated previously, having overweight or obesity is one of


the leading causes of preventable cancers. For these reasons, AICR recommends that mothers breastfeed exclusively for the first six months of a child’s life.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we thank the DGAC for considering our comments. We welcome the opportunity to serve as a resource to the DGAC as it reviews the evidence and develops its scientific report. If you have any questions or we can provide any additional information, please contact Deirdre McGinley-Gieser, Senior Vice President of Programs, at d.mcginley-gieser@aicr.org or 703-237-0159.

Sincerely,

Kelly B. Browning
Chief Executive Officer
American Institute for Cancer Research